

**From:** "Jason Nowakowski"

**To:** "Don Snell"

**Cc:** "Bob Fewkes" , "bert van domselaar", "Ed Cockman", "Josh Davis", "Paul Kirst", "Dan Lawson", "cmace", "Brent Niedenthal", "Ron Shepard", "Steve Short", "Don Smith", "Richard Williams", "janesessler"

**Sent:** Monday, November 4, 2019 3:02:45 PM

**Subject:** Re: Views on Wake Boat Issues

In my opinion, obviously also as a member of the Lake Committee, letters such as this one, with (slightly incorrect) personal recollections and recommendations, should be submitted directly and personally to the Ad Hoc. They should not come via the Lake Committee as official correspondence.

The board and Ad Hoc have asked the Lake Committee for whatever data they had so that could be considered. Of course, there is very little actual data in support of a moratorium. Much like the initial motion, this letter is opinion and conjecture, it is not data.

The motion that came from the lake committee was declined a vote by the board, mainly because the motion was not data driven and did not take the opinions or positions of the entire residence into consideration. Short of providing the requested data to the board, the Lake Committee should remain silent on this issue moving forward.

Jason

On Mon, Nov 4, 2019, 2:04 PM Donald Snell wrote:  
Bob;

Attached is a statement that I would like presented to the Ad Hoc Committee on Wake Boating. I'm not sure where the discussions are today because I've been out of town. I will share this with the rest of the Lake Committee also. Would you please share this with the Ad Hoc Committee members as they deliberate over the issues. Thank you. Don Snell